

AN ALGEBRAIC CELL DECOMPOSITION OF THE NONNEGATIVE PART OF A FLAG VARIETY

KONSTANZE RIETSCH

August/September 1997

ABSTRACT. We study the nonnegative part $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ of the flag variety $\mathcal{B}$ of a reductive algebraic group, as defined by Lusztig. Using positivity properties of the canonical basis it is shown that $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ has an algebraic cell decomposition indexed by pairs of elements $w \leq w'$ of the Weyl group. This result was conjectured by Lusztig in [Lu].

INTRODUCTION

The theory of total positivity for reductive algebraic groups G was introduced by Lusztig in the fundamental paper [Lu]. While the definitions of the totally positive parts of G and other related varieties can be stated in elementary ways, many of their properties are only proved using the deep positivity properties of canonical bases. The cell decomposition of the totally nonnegative part $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0} = (\mathcal{B}_{>0})^-$ of the flag variety proved here has been conjectured in [Lu] and is another example of this phenomenon. The idea behind this cell decomposition is the following. By Proposition 8.12 in [Lu], $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ is a connected component of the intersection of two opposed big cells. Here we generalize this result by identifying a ‘totally positive’ connected component in any intersection of two opposed Bruhat cells and showing that it is topologically a cell. These connected components are then the cells in the proposed cell decomposition of $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ becomes the (unique) open cell, and the 0-dimensional cells are simply $\{\dot{w} \cdot B^+\}$ for Weyl group elements w . For general intersections of opposed Bruhat cells it is not obvious that a positive part exists, that is, that their intersection with $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ is nonempty. Showing this is in some sense the heart of the proof, and is where we require the use of canonical bases (see Lemma 6).

The parameterization of this decomposition comes from indexing the Bruhat cells and opposite Bruhat cells by Weyl group elements in an appropriate way. Then pairs of opposed Bruhat cells with nonempty intersection (of dimension $\ell(w') - \ell(w)$) are labelled precisely by pairs (w, w') with $w \leq w'$ (see [Lu2]).

Key words and phrases. Flag varieties, linear algebraic groups, total positivity.
The author was supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Dissertation Fellowship.

PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a reductive linear algebraic group split over $\mathbb{R}$ with fixed pinning $(T, B^+, B^-, x_i, y_i; i \in I)$ (see [Lu]). In the following all varieties will be identified with their $\mathbb{R}$ -valued points. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the variety of all Borel subgroups of G , and $W = N_G(T)$ denote the Weyl group with longest element $w_0 \in W$. Let $\{s_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the set of simple reflections in W corresponding to the pinning. Write $\ell(w)$ for the length of $w \in W$, and $\dot{w}$ for a representative of w in $N_G(T)$. We start by recalling some results of Lusztig's from [Lu].

Total positivity in U^- . Let U^- and U^+ denote the unipotent radicals of B^- respectively B^+ . The totally nonnegative part $U_{\geq 0}^-$ of U^- is the semigroup generated by the set $\{y_i(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, i \in I\}$. Analogously, $U_{\geq 0}^+$ is the semigroup generated by $\{x_i(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, i \in I\}$.

For every $w \in W$ with reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ consider the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{R}^*)^k &\rightarrow U^- \\ (a_1, \dots, a_k) &\mapsto y_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots y_{i_k}(a_k). \end{aligned}$$

depending on $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I^k$. The image of the connected component $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^k$ under this map clearly lies in $U_{\geq 0}^-$. We denote it by $U^-(w)$. By [Lu, 2.7] it is independent of the choice of reduced expression $\mathbf{i}$. In fact, $U^-(w)$ can also be characterised as

$$U^-(w) = B^+ \dot{w} B^+ \cap U_{\geq 0}^-.$$

In particular, the $U^-(w)$ form a cell decomposition of $U_{\geq 0}^-$.

$$U_{\geq 0}^- = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} U^-(w).$$

For $w = w_0$, the component $U^-(w_0)$ is itself a semigroup. It is called the ‘totally positive submonoid’ $U_{>0}^-$ of U^- . The closure of $U_{>0}^-$ turns out to coincide with $U_{\geq 0}^-$, (see [Lu, 4.2]).

In all of the above, U^- can be replaced by U^+ , with the y_i ’s replaced by the x_i ’s. In this way $U_{>0}^+$, $U_{\geq 0}^+$ and the $U^+(w)$ ’s are defined.

Total positivity in $\mathcal{B}$. We denote the conjugation action of $g \in G$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathcal{B} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \\ B &\mapsto g \cdot B := g B g^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The totally positive part $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ of the flag variety is then defined as the orbit $U_{>0}^- \cdot B^+$ of $B^+ \in \mathcal{B}$ under conjugation by elements of $U_{>0}^-$. And this definition turns out to be symmetric in U^+ and U^- (see [Lu, 8.7]),

$$\mathcal{B}_{>0} = U_{>0}^- \cdot B^+ = U_{>0}^+ \cdot B^-.$$

$\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ is by definition the closure of $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ in $\mathcal{B}$.

The proposed cell decomposition of $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ comes from the two opposed Bruhat decompositions of $\mathcal{B}$. We first set up some notation. Write

$$B \xrightarrow{w} B' \quad \text{for } B, B' \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } w \in W$$

if (B, B') is conjugate under G (acting diagonally) to the pair $(B^+, w \cdot B^+)$. Let $w, w' \in W$. We use the following notation for Bruhat cells with respect to B^- and B^+ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{w'}^- &:= \{B \mid B^- \xrightarrow{w'} B\} \\ \mathcal{C}_w^+ &:= \{B \mid B^+ \xrightarrow{w_0 w} B\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}_w^+$ has codimension $\ell(w)$ in $\mathcal{B}$. The intersection $\mathcal{C}_{w'}^- \cap \mathcal{C}_w^+$ is nonempty precisely if $w \leq w'$, in which case it is a smooth variety of dimension $\ell(w') - \ell(w)$. This was shown by Lusztig in [Lu2], and also by Dale Peterson, [P]. We write

$$\mathcal{R}_{w, w'} = \mathcal{C}_{w'}^- \cap \mathcal{C}_w^+.$$

Our aim is to prove that $\mathcal{R}_{w, w'; > 0} := \mathcal{R}_{w, w'} \cap \mathcal{B}_{> 0}$ is a cell of dimension $\ell(w') - \ell(w)$. More precisely we propose that it is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{> 0}^{\ell(w') - \ell(w)}$ by a homeomorphism that extends to a (real) algebraic morphism $(\mathbb{R}^*)^{\ell(w') - \ell(w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w, w'}$. This was conjectured by Lusztig (see [Lu, 8.15] and also [Lu2]).

Key Example. If $w = 1$ or if $w' = w_0$ in $\mathcal{R}_{w, w'}$ then the conjecture follows directly from Lusztig's cell decomposition of $U_{\geq 0}^+$, respectively $U_{\geq 0}^-$. In that case

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{1, w'; > 0} &= U^+(w_0 w' w_0) \cdot B^- \\ \mathcal{R}_{w, w_0; > 0} &= U^-(w_0 w) \cdot B^+. \end{aligned}$$

The desired algebraic maps $(\mathbb{R}^*)^{\ell(w')} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{1, w'}$ and $(\mathbb{R}^*)^{\ell(w_0) - \ell(w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w, w_0}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_k) &\mapsto x_{i_1}(a_1) \dots x_{i_k}(a_k) \cdot B^- \\ (b_1, \dots, b_m) &\mapsto y_{j_1}(b_1) \dots y_{j_m}(b_m) \cdot B^+ \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k} = w_0 w' w_0$ and $s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_m} = w_0 w$ are reduced expressions.

PROOF OF THE CELL DECOMPOSITION

The proof follows the recursive procedure for studying $\mathcal{R}_{w, w'}$ of Kazhdan and Lusztig, [K-L], examining what happens to the positive part at every step. For $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we will sometimes write $B \geq 0$ instead of $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$.

Let $w, v \in W$ with $\ell(wv) = \ell(w) + \ell(v)$. Denote by

$$\phi_{w, v} : \mathcal{C}_{wv}^- \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_w^- \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{w, v} : \mathcal{C}_w^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{wv}^+$$

the algebraic maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B^- &\xrightarrow{w} \phi_{w, v}(B) \xrightarrow{v} B \\ B^+ &\xrightarrow{w_0 w v} \phi^{w, v}(B) \xrightarrow{v^{-1}} B. \end{aligned}$$

We start by computing $\phi_{w, v}$ in the key example.

1. Lemma. *Let $w' \in W$, $B \in \mathcal{R}_{1,w',>0}$, and $v \in W$ such that $\ell(w'v) = \ell(w') - \ell(v)$. Then $\phi_{w'v,v}(B) \in \mathcal{R}_{1,w'v,>0}$.*

Proof. By the key example, $B = x \cdot B^-$ for some $x \in U^+(w_0 w' w_0)$. We write x as $x_{i_1}(a_1) \dots x_{i_m}(a_m)$ where $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m} = w_0 w' w_0$ is a reduced expression with $s_{i_{k+1}} \dots s_{i_m} = w_0 v w_0$. Then $\phi_{w'v,v}(B) = x_{i_1}(a_1) \dots x_{i_k}(a_k) \cdot B^-$ and therefore lies in $U^+(w_0 w' v w_0) \cdot B^- = \mathcal{R}_{1 \leq w'v, >0}$. $\square$

To try to reduce the study of $\mathcal{R}_{w,w',>0}$ to the key example $\mathcal{R}_{1,w',>0}$, we conjugate by an element of $U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$. Some properties of the resulting map are listed in Lemma 2.

2. Lemma. *Let $w \in W$ and $y \in U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$.*

- (1) *If $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ then $y \cdot B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$.*
- (2) *Conjugation by y induces embeddings*

$$\mathcal{C}_w^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1^+, \quad \mathcal{C}_{w'}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{w'}^-, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{w,w'} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{1,w'}.$$

- (3) *For $B \in \mathcal{C}_{w'v}^-$ with $\ell(vw') = \ell(v) + \ell(w')$, we have $\phi_{w',v}(y \cdot B) = y \cdot \phi_{w',v}(B)$.*

Proof.

- (1) Conjugation by y preserves $U_{\geq 0}^- \cdot B^+$ therefore also its closure, which is $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$.
- (2) Since $y \in B^+ \dot{w}_0 \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}_0 B^+$ we have $B^+ \xrightarrow{w_0 w^{-1} w_0} y \cdot B^+$. Furthermore, $B \in \mathcal{C}_w^+$ implies $y \cdot B^+ \xrightarrow{w_0 w} y \cdot B$. Therefore $B^+ \xrightarrow{w_0} y \cdot B$, since the lengths add up. The rest follows since $y \in U^-$.
- (3) By definition, $B^- \xrightarrow{w'} \phi_{w',v}(B) \xrightarrow{v} B$. Conjugation by y gives $B^- \xrightarrow{w'} y \cdot \phi_{w',v}(B) \xrightarrow{v} y \cdot B$ which implies (3). $\square$

3. Lemma. *Suppose $v, w, w' \in W$, $\ell(wv) = \ell(w) + \ell(v)$ and $\ell(w'v) = \ell(w') + \ell(v)$.*

- (1) *Let $B \in \mathcal{C}_{w'v}^-$. If $B \geq 0$, then $\phi_{w',v}(B) \geq 0$.*

- (2) *Let $B \in \mathcal{C}_v^+$. If $B \geq 0$, then $\phi^{w,v}(B) \geq 0$.*

(3) *If $w \leq w'$ then $\phi_{w,v}$ gives rise to an isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{R}_{wv,w'v} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$ that restricts to a bijection*

$$\phi_{>0} : \mathcal{R}_{wv,w'v,>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w',>0}.$$

Proof. Note that statement (1) is true for $B \in \mathcal{R}_{1,w'v} \subset \mathcal{C}_{w'v}^-$, by Lemma 1. Now suppose $B \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w'v,>0}$ where $w \neq 1$. In that case consider the curve $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$ given by

$$t \mapsto y(t) := y_{j_1}(t) y_{j_2}(t) \dots y_{j_l}(t)$$

for some reduced expression $s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \dots s_{j_l}$ of $w_0 w^{-1} w_0$. By Lemma 2 we have $y(t) \cdot B \in \mathcal{R}_{1,w'v,>0}$. Hence $\phi_{w',v}(y(t) \cdot B) \geq 0$ and by continuity as t goes to 0, also $\phi_{w',v}(B) \geq 0$. This implies (1), since $\mathcal{C}_{w'v}^- = \bigsqcup_w \mathcal{R}_{w,w'v}$. (2) follows by symmetry. (3) is an immediate consequence of (1) and (2), since the inverse of ϕ is given by $\phi^{w,v}|_{\mathcal{R}_{w,w'}}$. $\square$

Let $w \leq w' \in W$ and s be a simple reflection such that $w \leq ws$ and $w's \leq w'$. By properties of the Bruhat decomposition, $\phi_{w's,s}$ restricts to

$$\pi = \pi_{w,w',s} : \mathcal{R}_{w,w'} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{ws,w's}.$$

The following lemmas study the behaviour of $\pi_{w,w',s}$ (denoted π if the w, w' and s are clear from context) with respect to total positivity.

4. Lemma. *For $w, w', s \in W$ as above, the map $\pi = \pi_{w, w', s}$ restricts to a map*

$$\pi_{>0} : \mathcal{R}_{w, w', >0} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w, w' s, >0}.$$

Proof. Suppose B is an element of $\mathcal{R}_{w, w', >0}$. By Lemma 3.(1) we know that $B' := \pi(B)$ lies in $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$. It remains to show that B' lies in $\mathcal{R}_{w, w' s}$, as opposed to in $\mathcal{R}_{w s, w' s}$. Choose some $y \in U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$. Then by Lemma 2 we have $y \cdot B' = y \cdot \phi_{w', s}(B) = \phi_{w' s, s}(y \cdot B)$ and $y \cdot B \in \mathcal{R}_{1, w', >0}$. Therefore, by Lemma 1, $y \cdot B' \in \mathcal{R}_{1, w' s, >0}$. But this can only be the case if $B' \in \mathcal{R}_{w, w' s}$. If B' were in $\mathcal{R}_{w s, w' s}$ then we would have

$$B^+ \xrightarrow{w_0 w^{-1} w_0} y \cdot B^+ \xrightarrow{w_0 w s} y \cdot B'.$$

and $y \cdot B' \in \mathcal{R}_{s, w' s}$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

5. Total positivity and canonical bases. We have not yet shown that $\mathcal{R}_{w, w', >0}$ is nonempty. Our proof of this fact requires the deep positivity properties of Lusztig's canonical basis. Let ρ be the sum of all fundamental weights, V an irreducible representation of G with highest weight ρ and $\eta \in V$ a highest weight vector. Then Lusztig's canonical basis of the (quantized) universal enveloping algebra of U^- (with respect to the chosen pinning, or equivalently the corresponding set of Chevalley generators) gives rise to a basis $\mathbb{B}$ of V uniquely determined by the choice of η (see [Lu3]). Using this basis, $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ can be characterized as follows.

Theorem (Lusztig [Lu, 8.17]). Let G be of simply laced type and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then B lies in $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ if and only if the unique line in V stabilized by B is spanned by a vector $v \in V_{\geq 0} = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} b$.

We apply this Theorem in the proof of the next lemma to explicitly construct elements in $\mathcal{R}_{w, w', >0}$.

6. Lemma. *Let $y \in U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$ and $B \in \mathcal{C}_w^+$. If $y \cdot B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$, then $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$.*

Proof. Note that it suffices to prove this lemma for simply laced groups. The non-simply laced case then follows by standard arguments (see [Lu, 8.8]). So we assume that G is simply laced.

$\mathcal{C}_w^+$ is decomposed as follows.

$$\mathcal{C}_w^+ = \bigsqcup_{w' \geq w} \mathcal{R}_{w, w'}$$

We prove the lemma stratum by stratum by induction on $\ell(w') - \ell(w)$ (for all $w \in W$ simultaneously). In the starting case of the induction a stratum consists of just a single element, $\mathcal{R}_{w, w} = \{\dot{w}_0 \dot{w}^{-1} \cdot B^+\}$. By [Lu, 8.13], this element lies in $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$, thus the statement of the lemma holds.

Consider $\mathcal{R}_{w, w'}$ with $w < w'$. First we show that we can assume the existence of a simple reflection s such that $w \leq ws$ and $w' s \leq w'$. Let $v \in W$ be maximal such that $\ell(wv) = \ell(w) + \ell(v)$ and $\ell(w'v) = \ell(w') + \ell(v)$. We reduce the case $B \in \mathcal{R}_{w, w'}$ to $B' \in \mathcal{R}_{wv, w'v}$. Let $B \in \mathcal{R}_{w, w'}$ and $y \in U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$ such that $y \cdot B \geq 0$. Then $B' := \phi_{w', v}(B) \in \mathcal{R}_{wv, w'v}$ and $y \cdot B' = \phi_{w', v}(y \cdot B) \geq 0$, by Lemmas 2 and 3. Choose $y' \in U^-(w_0 v^{-1} w_0)$, so that $y'y \in U^-(w_0 v^{-1} w^{-1} w_0)$. Then also $y'y \cdot B' \geq 0$. Thus if the lemma holds for $y'y \in U^-(w_0 v^{-1} w^{-1} w_0)$ and $B' \in \mathcal{R}_{wv, w'v}$, then by Lemma

3.(3) it also holds for $y \in U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$ and $B \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$. Therefore we can replace w, w' by $wv, w'v$. By construction, any simple reflection that increases the length of this new w must decrease the length of the new w' . And such a simple reflection exists, since $w < w' \leq w_0$.

So we are reduced to considering strata $\mathcal{R}_{w,w'} \subset \mathcal{C}_w^+$ for w, w' with a simple reflection s such that $w \leq ws$ and $w's \leq w'$. This is the case in which we can apply the induction hypothesis. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{w,w'} & \xrightarrow{y} & \mathcal{R}_{1,w} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{ws,w's} & \xrightarrow{y} & \mathcal{R}_{1,w's} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{s,w's} \end{array}$$

where π and π_1 are restrictions of $\phi_{w's,s}$ as before, and the horizontal maps refer to conjugation by y . Suppose $B \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$ and $y \in U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$ such that $y \cdot B \geq 0$. Then $y \cdot \pi(B) = \pi_1(y \cdot B)$ and lies in $\mathcal{R}_{1,w's;>0}$, by Lemma 1. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $\pi(B) \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w's;>0}$ (and since y takes $\mathcal{R}_{ws,w's}$ to $\mathcal{R}_{s,w's}$).

Consider the fibers $F = \pi^{-1}(\pi(B))$ and $F_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(y \cdot \pi(B))$ and their nonnegative parts $F_{>0} := F \cap \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ and $F_{1;>0} := F_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$. Then B is an element of F such that $y \cdot B \in F_{1;>0}$. It remains to show that $B \geq 0$. This follows from the following claim.

Claim. Let $B' \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w's;>0}$, and let F and F_1 be the fibers $\pi^{-1}(B')$, respectively $\pi_1^{-1}(y \cdot B')$. The isomorphism $y : F \rightarrow F_1$ given by conjugation with y restricts to a bijection $F_{>0} \rightarrow F_{1;>0}$ of the positive parts.

The proof of this claim uses the characterization of $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$ in terms of canonical bases to construct $F_{>0}$. Let $g \in B^+ \dot{w}_0 \dot{w} T$ such that $g \cdot B^+ = B'$. We apply g to the highest weight η of ρ -representation. Since $B' \geq 0$ we can assume that, by Lusztig's Theorem,

$$g \cdot \eta \in \sum_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} b = V_{\geq 0}.$$

Now in explicit terms $F = \{gy_i(a) \cdot B^+ \mid a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$ where $s = s_i$. To determine which elements of F lie in $F_{>0}$ we need to study the $gy_i(a) \cdot \eta$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Let f_i be the Chevalley generator of the Lie algebra of U^- such that $y_i(a) = \exp(af_i)$. Then in the ρ -representation $y_i(a) \cdot \eta = \eta + af_i \cdot \eta$ and $f_i \cdot \eta \in \mathbb{B}$ is the unique canonical basis element in the $(s_i \cdot \rho)$ -weight space. We have therefore $g \cdot (f_i \cdot \eta) = g \dot{s}_i \cdot \eta$ for a suitable choice of $\dot{s}_i$. It is easily verified that $g \dot{s}_i \cdot B^+ = \phi^{w,s}(g \cdot B^+)$ and thus lies in $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$. So $g \cdot (f_i \cdot \eta) \in \sigma V_{\geq 0}$ for some sign $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$. Therefore

$$g y_i(a) \cdot \eta = g \cdot \eta + a g \cdot (f_i \cdot \eta) \in V_{\geq 0} \quad \text{whenever } \sigma a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0},$$

and the connected component

$$F^0 := \{g y_i(a) \cdot B^+ \mid \sigma a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\} \subseteq F_{>0}$$

of F lies in $F_{>0}$. Now $F_{1;>0}$ is indeed a connected component of F_1 , as can easily be computed using the key example. So its image under y^{-1} must in fact coincide with F^0 , and we get inclusions

$$y \cdot F_{>0} \subseteq F_{1;>0} = y \cdot F^0 \subseteq y \cdot F_{>0}.$$

Thus $F_{>0} = F^0$, and conjugation by y induces a bijection $F_{>0} \rightarrow F_{1;>0}$. $\square$

7. Lemma. *Let $w < w' \in W$ and s a simple reflection such that $w \leq ws$ and $w's \leq w'$. There exists a real algebraic map $\psi : \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \times \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$ that restricts to a homeomorphism*

$$\psi_{>0} : \mathcal{R}_{w,w's;>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w';>0}$$

and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \times \mathbb{R}^* & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{R}_{w,w'} \\
 pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{ws,w's}.
 \end{array}
 \quad (*)$$

Here pr_1 is projection onto the first factor, $\pi = \pi_{w,w',s}$, and ι is the obvious inclusion.

Proof. First note that this statement is true for $w = 1$ by the key example. Here $\psi = \psi_1 : \mathcal{R}_{1,w's} \times \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{1,w'}$ is given by $(x \cdot B^-, a) \mapsto xx_i(a) \cdot B^-$, where $x \in U^+ \cap B^- \dot{w}_0 \dot{w}' s \dot{w}_0 B^-$ and $s_i = w_0 s w_0$. It clearly has all the properties required in the lemma.

Let $y \in U^-(w_0 w^{-1} w_0)$. We consider the following commutative diagram, where $\pi_1 = \pi_{1,w,w'}$ and y stands for conjugation by y .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \times \mathbb{R}^* & & \mathcal{R}_{w,w'} \\
 y \times \text{id} \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\psi} & \downarrow y \\
 \mathcal{R}_{1,w's} \times \mathbb{R}^* & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & \mathcal{R}_{1,w'} \\
 pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\
 \mathcal{R}_{1,w's} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{R}_{1,w's} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{s,w's}
 \end{array}
 \quad (**)$$

By the lower half of this diagram we see that the image of $\tilde{\psi} := \psi_1 \circ (y \times \text{id})$ lies in $\pi_1^{-1}(y \cdot \mathcal{R}_{w,w's}) = y \cdot (\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_{w,w's})) \subseteq y \cdot (\mathcal{R}_{w,w'})$. Therefore $\psi(B, a) := y^{-1} \cdot \psi_1(y \cdot B, a)$ defines a real algebraic map $\psi : \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \times \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$ with the property $\tilde{\psi} = y \circ \psi$.

Next we study the restriction of ψ to $\mathcal{R}_{w,w's;>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Consider an element $(B, a) \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w's;>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then $\psi(B, a) \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$ and, by the properties of ψ_1 , $y \cdot \psi(B, a) = \psi_1(y \cdot B, a)$ lies in $\mathcal{R}_{1,w';>0}$. Therefore $\psi(B, a) \geq 0$, by Lemma 6. Thus the restriction of ψ gives rise to a continuous map $\psi_{>0} : \mathcal{R}_{w,w's;>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w';>0}$. Its inverse should be given by $B' \mapsto (y^{-1} \times \text{id})(\psi_1^{-1}(y \cdot B'))$. The first component of this map is just $y^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ y = \pi$ and the second component equals to the second component of $\psi_1^{-1} \circ y$. Thus by Lemmas 4 and 2.(1), $\psi_{>0}^{-1}(B') := (y^{-1} \times \text{id})(\psi_1^{-1}(y \cdot B'))$ lies in $\mathcal{R}_{w,w's;>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ (for $B' \in \mathcal{R}_{w,w';>0}$), and $\psi_{>0}$ is a homeomorphism.

It remains to note that the diagram (*) commutes. This follows since (*) can be obtained from the lower half of the commutative diagram (**) by conjugating all the maps by y^{-1} and restricting. $\square$

8. Proposition. *Let $w < w' \in W$ and $m := \ell(w') - \ell(w)$. Then there exists a real algebraic map $\gamma : (\mathbb{R}^*)^m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$ such that its restriction defines a homeomorphism $\gamma_{>0} : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w';>0}$.*

Proof. Let $v \in W$ be of maximal length such that $\ell(wv) = \ell(w) + \ell(v)$ and $\ell(w'v) = \ell(w') + \ell(v)$. By Lemma 2 it suffices to prove the Proposition for $\mathcal{R}_{wv,w'v}$. Thus it suffices to consider the case where we have a simple reflection s such that $w \leq ws$ and $w's \leq w'$.

The Proposition is proved by induction on $\ell(w') - \ell(w)$. For the start of induction we can assume that $w' = ws$ for some simple reflection s . In this case Lemma 7 applies and (since $\mathcal{R}_{w,w's} = \mathcal{R}_{w,w}$ is a single point) gives the desired map $\mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,ws}$.

Now for general $w < w'$ and s with $w \leq ws$ and $w's \leq w'$ we use the algebraic map $\gamma' : (\mathbb{R}^*)^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w's}$ given by the induction hypothesis. The map $\gamma : (\mathbb{R}^*)^m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w'}$ is then defined as the composition

$$\gamma : (\mathbb{R}^*)^m \cong (\mathbb{R}^*)^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^* \xrightarrow{\gamma' \times \text{id}} \mathcal{R}_{w,w's} \times \mathbb{R}^* \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{R}_{w,w'},$$

with ψ as in Lemma 7. It is clearly algebraic and restricts to a homeomorphism $\gamma_{>0} = \psi_{>0} \circ (\gamma'_{>0} \times \text{id}) : \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \cong \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{w,w';>0}$. $\square$

Remark. It remains to note that all W -conjugates of B^+ lie in $\mathcal{B}_{\geq 0}$, by [Lu, 8.13]. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_{w,w';>0} = \mathcal{R}_{w,w} = \{\dot{w}_0 \dot{w} \cdot B^+\}$. With this, the proof of the cell decomposition is complete.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank George Lusztig for suggesting the problem.

REFERENCES

- [K-L] Kazhdan D., Lusztig G., *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.
- [Lu] Lusztig, G., *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie Theory and Geometry: in honor of Bertram Kostant, Progress in Math. **123** (1994), Birkhäuser, Boston, 531–568.
- [Lu2] Lusztig, G., *Introduction to total positivity.*, Preprint (1997).
- [Lu3] Lusztig, G., *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 110, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [P] Peterson, D., *Lecture course on quantum cohomology*, M.I.T., spring term (1997).

K. RIETSCH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139